arXiv:0705.4362v1 [math.CA] 30 May 2007

Explicit Rational Solutions of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equation.

Lev Sakhnovich

735 Crawford ave., Brooklyn, 11223, New York, USA.
E-mail address: lev.sakhnovich@verizon.net

Abstract

We consider the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system of linear differential equations. The coefficients of this system are rational functions generated by elements of the symmetric group S_n. We assume that parameter $\rho = \pm 1$. In previous paper [5] we proved that the fundamental solution of the corresponding KZ-equation is rational. Now we construct this solution in the explicit form.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 34M05, Secondary 34M55,47B38.
Keywords. Symmetric group, natural representation, linear differential system, rational fundamental solution.

1 Introduction

1.We consider the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov differential system (see [3])

$$\frac{dW}{dz} = \rho A(z)W, \quad z{\in}C, \tag{1.1}$$

where $A(z)$ and $W(z)$ are $n{\times}n$ matrices. We suppose that $A(z)$ has the form

$$A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{P_k}{z - z_k}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $z_k{\neq}z_\ell$ if $k{\neq}\ell$. The matrices P_k are connected with matrix representation of the symmetric group S_n and are defined by formulas (2.1)-(2.4).
Remark 1.1. Equation (1.1) is one of the equations belonging to the consistent KZ-system. In section 3 we shall consider the whole KZ-system.
A.Chervov and D. Talalaev formulated the following interesting conjecture [2].
Conjecture 1.1. *The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system* (1.1), (1.2) *has a rational fundamental matrix solution when parameter ρ is integer.*
We have proved this conjecture [5] for the case when $\rho = \pm 1$ In the present paper we solve the corresponding KZ-equation in the explicit rational form. In the case S_4 the explicit solution was constructed in paper [6].
2. In a neighborhood of z_k the matrix function $A(z)$ can be represented in the form

$$A(z) = \frac{a_{-1}}{z - z_k} + a_0 + a_1(z - z_k) + ..., \tag{1.3}$$

where a_k are $n{\times}n$ matrices. We investigate the case when z_k is either a regular point of $W(z)$ or a pole. Hence the following relation

$$W(z) = \sum_{p \geq m} b_p(z - z_k)^p, \quad b_m{\neq}0 \tag{1.4}$$

is true. Here b_p are $n{\times}n$ matrices. We note that m can be negative.
Proposition 1.1.(necessary condition, (see [4]) *If the solution of system* (1.1) *has form* (1.4) *then m is an eigenvalue of ρa_{-1}.*
We denote by M the greatest integer eigenvalue of the matrix ρa_{-1}. Using relations (1.3) and (1.4) we obtain the assertion.

Proposition 1.2.(necessary and sufficient condition, (see [4]) *If the matrix system*

$$[(q+1)I_n - \rho a_{-1}]b_{q+1} = \sum_{j+\ell=q} \rho a_j b_\ell, \tag{1.5}$$

where $m \le q+1 \le M$, *has a solution* $b_m, b_{m+1}, ..., b_M$ *and* $b_m \ne 0$ *then system* (1.1) *has a solution of form* (1.4).

2 Calculation of the rational solution, general scheme

1. We consider the natural representation of the symmetric group S_n (see [1]). By $(i;j)$ we denote the permutation which transposes i and j and preserves all the rest. The $n \times n$ matrix which corresponds to $(i;j)$ is denoted by

$$P(i,j) = [p_{k,\ell}(i,j)], \quad (i \ne j). \tag{2.1}$$

The elements $p_{k,\ell}(i,j)$ are equal to zero except

$$p_{k,\ell}(i,j) = 1, \quad (k=i, \ell=j); \quad p_{k,\ell}(i,j) = 1, \quad (k=j, \ell=i), \tag{2.2}$$

$$p_{k,k}(i,j) = 1, \quad (k \ne i, k \ne j). \tag{2.3}$$

Now we introduce the matrices

$$P_k = P(1, k+1), \quad 1 \le k \le n-1. \tag{2.4}$$

and the $1 \times (n-1)$ vector $e = [1, 1, ..., 1]$, and $n \times n$ matrix

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 2-n & e \\ e^\tau & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.5}$$

Using relations (2.1)-(2.4) we deduce that

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} P_k = (n-2)I_n + S. \tag{2.6}$$

The eigenvalues of T are defined by the equalities

$$\lambda_1 = n-1, \quad \lambda_2 = n-2, \quad \lambda_3 = -1. \tag{2.7}$$

The corresponding eigenvectors have the forms

$$V_1 = \text{col}[1, 1, ..., 1], \quad V_2 = \text{col}[0, a_1, ..., a_{n-1}], \quad V_3 = \text{col}[(n-1), -1, ..., -1]. \tag{2.8}$$

2. First we investigate equation (1.1) , (1.2) in a neighborhood of $z = \infty$. Changing the variable $z = 1/\xi$ we obtain

$$\frac{dV}{d\xi} = -\rho B(\xi)V(\xi), \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$V(\xi) = W(1/\xi) = \sum_{p=m}^{\infty} \xi^p G_p, \quad G_m \neq 0, \quad |\xi| < r, \tag{2.10}$$

$$B(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi^2} A(1/\xi) = T\xi + \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \xi^p T_p, \quad |\xi| < r. \tag{2.11}$$

Here the matrix T is defined by relations (2.5) and (2.6). In view of (1.2) and (2.11) the equalities

$$T_p = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k z_k^{p+1}, \quad p \geq 0 \tag{2.12}$$

are valid. In case $\rho = 1$ we have the system

$$[(q+1)I_n + T]G_{q+1} = -\sum_{j+\ell=q} T_j G_\ell, \quad j \geq 0, \quad q+1 \geq -n+1. \tag{2.13}$$

In case $\rho = -1$ we obtain that

$$[(q+1)I_n - T]G_{q+1} = \sum_{j+\ell=q} T_j G_\ell, \quad j \geq 0, \quad q+1 \geq -1. \tag{2.14}$$

We need the following assertion (see [5]).

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\rho = \pm 1$. Then the matrix function $W(z)$ can be written in the form*

$$W(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{L_k}{z - z_k} + Q(z), \tag{2.15}$$

where L_k are $n{\times}n$ matrices, $Q(z)$ is $n{\times}n$ matrix polynomial.
Using relations (2.10) and (2.15) we deduce that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} z_k^{p-1} L_k = G_p, \quad 1 \leq p \leq s, \quad \rho = \pm 1. \tag{2.16}$$

3. Now we can describe the method of calculating the rational solution $W(z)$ of system (1.1),(1.2).
Step 1. From relation (2.13) we find $G_p(-n+1 \leq p \leq n-1)$ in case $\rho = 1$. From relation (2.14) we find $G_p(-1 \leq p \leq n-1)$ in case $\rho = -1$.
Step 2. We find $Q(z)$ with the help of the formulas

$$Q(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} z^q G_{-q}, \quad \rho = 1, \tag{2.17}$$

$$Q(z) = \sum_{q=0}^{1} z^q G_{-q}, \quad \rho = -1. \tag{2.18}$$

Step 3. In view of (2.16) the matrices L_k are defined by the equality

$$\mathrm{col}[L_1, L_2, ..., L_{n-1}] = M^{-1} \mathrm{col}[G_1, G_2, ..., G_{n-1}]. \tag{2.19}$$

The block matrix M has the form

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_n & I_n & ... & I_n \\ z_1 I_n & z_2 I_n & ... & z_{n-1} I_n \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ z_1^{n-2} I_n & z_2^{n-2} I_n & ... & z_{n-1}^{n-2} I_n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.20}$$

Together with matrix M we consider the Vandermonde matrix

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ z_1 & z_2 & ... & z_{n-1} \\ ... & ... & ... & ... \\ z_1^{n-2} & z_2^{n-2} & ... & z_{n-1}^{n-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

The following relation

$$\det M_1 = \prod_{i>j} (z_i - z_j) \neq 0 \tag{2.21}$$

is true for Vandermonde matrix M_1. The matrix $N = M^{-1} = [N_{i,j}]$ is defined by the relations

$$N_{i,j} = A_{j,i} I_n, \tag{2.22}$$

where the numbers $A_{j,i}$ are adjoint minors of entries $m_{j,i}$ of the matrix M_1.
4. Now we use the described method in the case when $\rho = -1$. The $n \times 1$ vectors

$$G_{-1} = G_0 = ... = G_{n-2} = 0, \quad G_{n-1} = \mathrm{col}[1, 1, ..., 1] \tag{2.23}$$

satisfy relations (2.14). The vectors L_k can be chosen in the following form

$$L_k = \alpha_k V_k. \tag{2.24}$$

We note, that the vectors V_k are defined by relation (2.8). Now using formula (2.19) we find the numbers

$$\alpha_k = A_{n-1,k}/\mathrm{det} M_1 = (-1)^{n+k-1}/[\prod_{i>k}(z_i - z_k)\prod_{k>j}(z_k - z_j)] \tag{2.25}$$

Relations (2.23)-(2.25) imply the following assertion.
Proposition 2.2. *The vector function*

$$Y_1(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} \frac{L_k}{z - z_k} \tag{2.26}$$

is the rational solution of system (1.1) *when* $\rho = -1$.
In order to construct the new rational solutions $Y_k(z)$, $(2 \leq k \leq n-1)$ of system (1.1) we introduce the vectors

$$G_{-1} = G_0 = ... = G_{n-3} = 0, \quad G_{n-2} = \mathrm{col}[0, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1}], \tag{2.27}$$

where

$$a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_{n-1} = 0. \tag{2.28}$$

It follows from (2.14) that

$$[(n-1)I_n - T]G_{n-1} = T_0 G_{n-2}. \tag{2.29}$$

The right hand sight of (2.29) has the form

$$T_0 G_{n-2} = \mathrm{col}[m, m_1, m_2, ..., m_{n-1}], \tag{2.30}$$

where

$$m=\sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} a_i z_i, \quad m_k=a_k\sum_{i\neq k} z_i. \tag{2.31}$$

We use the following equality

$$T_0G_{n-2}=[m/(n-1)]V_3+\mathrm{col}[0,b_1,b_2,...,b_{n-1}], \tag{2.32}$$

where the vector V_3 is defined by (2.8) and

$$b_k=m_k+m/(n-1). \tag{2.33}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} b_i=0. \tag{2.34}$$

Using relations (2.7), (2.8) and (2.29), (2.32) we have

$$G_{n-1}=m/[n(n-1)]V_3+\mathrm{col}[0,b_1,b_2,...,b_{n-1}] \tag{2.35}$$

In view of (2.19), (2.27) and (2.35) the relations

$$L_k=\ell_k=A_{n-2,k}G_{n-2}+A_{n-1,k}G_{n-1}, \quad 1\leq k\leq n-1 \tag{2.36}$$

are true.
Remark 2.1. The following relations

$$A_{n-2,k}=-A_{n-1,k}\sum_{i\neq k} z_i, \quad 1\leq k\leq n-1, \tag{2.37}$$

$$A_{n-1,k}=\prod_{i>j, \quad i,j\neq k}(z_i-z_j) \tag{2.38}$$

are valid.
Formulas (2.27), (2.35)- (2.38) imply the following assertion.
Proposition 2.3. *The vector functions*

$$Y_j(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1}\frac{\ell_{k,j}}{z-z_k}, \quad (2\leq j\leq n-1) \tag{2.39}$$

are the linear independent rational solutions of system (1.1) *when* $\rho = -1$. *Here*

$$a_{n-1,j} = -1, \quad a_{k,j} = 0 \quad if \quad j \neq k+1, \quad a_{k,k+1} = 1. \tag{2.40}$$

In order to construct the rational solution $Y_n(z)$ of system (1.1) we introduce the vector

$$G_{-1} = V_3. \tag{2.41}$$

The vector V_3 can be represented in the form

$$V_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} N_i, \tag{2.42}$$

where the entries $n_{i,j}$ of the vectors N_i are defined by the relations

$$n_{i,1} = n_{i,i+1} = 1; \quad n_{i,j} = -2/(n-2), \quad if \quad j \neq 1 \quad and \quad if \quad j \neq i+1. \tag{2.43}$$

According to (2.14) the equality

$$TG_0 = -T_0 G_{-1} \tag{2.44}$$

holds. Let us calculate the right-hand site of (2.44):

$$T_0 G_{-1} = (\sum_{k=1}^{s} P_k z_k)(\sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} N_i) \tag{2.45}$$

Using relations

$$P_k N_s = P_s N_k, \tag{2.46}$$

we have

$$T_0 G_{-1} = T \sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} z_i N_i. \tag{2.47}$$

It follows from formulas (2.44) and (2.47) that

$$G_0 = -\sum_{i=1}^{i=n-1} z_i N_i. \tag{2.48}$$

To find G_1 we use the relation

$$(I_n - T)G_1 = T_0 G_0 + T_1 G_{-1}. \tag{2.49}$$

Equalities (2.45)-(2.47) imply that the right-hand site of (2.48) has the form

$$T_0G_0 + T_1G_{-1} = \sum_{k>\ell}(z_k - z_\ell)^2 P_k N_\ell. \tag{2.50}$$

The vector $P_{k,\ell} = P_k N_\ell$ can be represented as the linear combination of the vectors V_2 and V_3:

$$P_{k,\ell} = U_0 + U_{k,\ell} \tag{2.51}$$

where

$$U_0 = -2/[(n-1)(n-2)]V_3, \tag{2.52}$$

$$U_{k,\ell} = V_2 = \mathrm{col}[0, a_{1,k,\ell}, a_{2,k,\ell}, ..., a_{n-1,k,\ell}]. \tag{2.53}$$

Here $a_{p,k,\ell}$ are defined by the relations

$$a_{p,k,\ell} = -\frac{2}{n-2} - \frac{2}{(n-2)(n-1)} = -\frac{2n}{(n-2)(n-1)} \quad if \quad p \neq k, \ell. \tag{2.54}$$

If $p = k$ or $p = \ell$ we have

$$a_{p,k,\ell} = 1 - \frac{2}{(n-2)(n-1)} = \frac{n(n-3)}{(n-2)(n-1)}. \tag{2.55}$$

From formulas (2.49)-(2.55) we deduce that

$$G_1 = \sum_{k>\ell}(z_k - z_\ell)^2 G_{k,\ell}, \tag{2.56}$$

where

$$G_{k,\ell} = \frac{1}{2}U_0 + \frac{1}{3-n}U_{k,\ell}. \tag{2.57}$$

Let us represent the vector $G_{k,\ell}$ as linear combination of the vectors N_i (see (2.44)):

$$G_{k,\ell} = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta_{s,k,\ell} N_s. \tag{2.58}$$

According to (2.58) we have

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta_{s,k,\ell} = 0, \tag{2.59}$$

$$-\frac{2}{n-2}\sum_{s\neq p}\beta_{s,k,\ell}+\beta_{p,k,\ell}=\frac{2n}{(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)},\quad p\neq k,\ell. \tag{2.60}$$

If $p=\ell$ or $p=k$ then the equality

$$-\frac{2}{n-2}\sum_{s\neq p}\beta_{s,k,\ell}+\beta_{p,k,\ell}=-\frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \tag{2.61}$$

is true. From relations (2.59)-(2.61) we deduce that

$$\beta_{p,k,\ell}=\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)},\quad p\neq k,\ell, \tag{2.62}$$

and

$$\beta_{k,k,\ell}=\beta_{\ell,k,\ell}=-\frac{1}{(n-1)}. \tag{2.63}$$

In view of (2.16) the equality

$$G_1=\sum_{s=1}^{n-1}L_s, \tag{2.64}$$

where

$$L_s=\gamma_s N_s. \tag{2.65}$$

In order to find γ_s we represent G_1 in the form (see (2.56)-(2.58)):

$$G_1=\sum_{k>\ell}(z_k-z_\ell)^2\sum_{s=1}^{n-1}\beta_{s,k,\ell}N_s\sum_{s=1}^{n-1}\beta_{s,k,\ell}N_s \quad . \tag{2.66}$$

Hence we have

$$\gamma_s=\sum_{k>\ell}(z_k-z_\ell)^2\beta_{s,k,\ell} \quad . \tag{2.67}$$

Relations (2.43),(2.48) and (2.65), (2.67) imply the following assertion.
Proposition 2.4. *The vector function*

$$Y_n(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1}\frac{L_k}{z-z_k}+zG_{-1}+G_0 \tag{2.68}$$

is the rational solution of system (1.1) *when* $\rho = -1$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let us consider the case* S_n *when* $n \geq 3, \quad \rho = -1$. *In this case system* (1.1) *has the fundamental rational solution of the form*

$$Y(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=n} c_k Y_k(z), \tag{2.69}$$

where c_k *are arbitrary constants.*

Remark 2.2. It is easy to see that the constructed solutions $Y_k(z), \quad (1 \leq k \leq n)$ are linearly independent and satisfy the conditions of Proposition 1.2.

Remark 2.3. The fundamental rational solution can be represented in the $n \times n$ matrix form

$$W(z) = [Y_1(z), Y_2(z), ..., Y_n(z)]. \tag{2.70}$$

Remark 2.4. The explicit solutions for the cases S_3 and S_4 were constructed in papers [5] and [6]. The case $\rho = \pm 2$ for S_3 was considered by A.Tydnyuk [7]

We repeat the remark from paper [5].

Remark 2.5. Let the matrices P_k be symmetric. If system (1.1),(1.2) has a fundamental rational $n \times n$ matrix solution $W(z)$, when $\rho = m$, then this system has the fundamental rational $n \times n$ matrix solution $Y(z) = [W^{-1}(z)]^{\tau}$, when $\rho = -m$. (By the symbol Q^{τ} we denote the transposed matrix Q.)

Example 2.1.(Without rational fundamental solution.)

Let us consider the system

$$\frac{dW}{dx} = A(z)W, \quad z \in C, \tag{2.71}$$

where $A(z)$ and $W(z)$ are 3×3 matrices. We suppose that $A(z)$ has the form

$$A(z) = \frac{m_1 P_1}{z - z_1} + \frac{m_2 P_2}{z - z_2}. \tag{2.72}$$

Here m_1 and m_2 are integers,$z_1 \neq z_2$, the matrices P_1 and P_2 are defined by relations (2.1)-(2.4). We introduce the matrix

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m_1 & m_2 \\ m_1 & m_2 & 0 \\ m_2 & 0 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.73}$$

The matrix T has the following eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = m_1 + m_2, \quad \lambda_{2,3} = \pm\sqrt{m_1^2 - m_1 m_2 + m_1^2}. \tag{2.74}$$

According to results of paper [4] the following statement is true.
Proposition 2.6. *If* λ_2 *and* λ_3 *are not integer , then system* (2.71) *has no rational fundamental solution.*
Corollary 2.1. *In case* $m_1 = 1, \quad m_2 \neq 0, 1$ *system* (2.71) *has no rational fundamental solution.*
Proof. The inequalities

$$(m_2 - 1)^2 < 1 - m_2 + m_2^2, \quad m_2 > 1, \tag{2.75}$$

$$m_2^2 < 1 - m_2 + (m_2 - 1)^2, \quad m_2 < 0 \tag{2.76}$$

imply that $\lambda_{2,3}$ are not integer. In view of Proposition 2.6 the corollary is true.

3 Consistent System

In section 1 we have considered only one equation of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system.The corresponding system has the form

$$\frac{dW}{dz_i} = \rho A_i(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)W, \quad i = 1, 2, ...n, \tag{3.1}$$

where $A_i(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ and $W(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ are matrices of $n \times n$ and $n \times 1$ order respectively. We suppose that $A_i(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ has the form

$$A_i(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) = \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{P_{i,k}}{z_i - z_k}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $z_k \neq z_\ell$ if $k \neq \ell$.
Remark 3.1. The following properties of $P_{i,j}$
1. $P_{i,j} = P_{j,i}$,
2. $[P_{i,j} + P_{j,k}, P_{i,k}] = 0, \quad i, j, k \quad$ *distinkt*,
3. $[P_{i,j}, P_{k,\ell}] = 0, \quad i, j, k, \ell \quad$ *distinkt*
are well known.
From properties 1.-3. we deduce directly the following assertion.
Proposition 3.1. *System (3.1), (3.2) is consistent.*

References

1. Burrow M., Representation Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, 1965.
2. Chervov A., Talalaev D., Quantum Spectral Curves, Quantum Integrable Systems and the Geometric Langlands Correspondence, arXiv:hep-th/0604128, 2006.
3. Etingof P.I., Frenkel I.B., Kirillov A.A. (jr.), Lectures on Representation Theory and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations, Amer. Math. Society, 1998.
4. Sakhnovich L.A., Meromorphic Solutions of Linear Differential Systems, Painleve Type Functions, Operators and Matrices, v.1, 87-111, 2007.
5. Sakhnovich L.A., Rational Solutions of KZ equation, existence and construction, arXiv:math-ph/0609067, 2006.
6. Sakhnovich L.A., Rational Solution of KZ Equation, Case S_4. arXiv:math.CA/0702404, 2006
7. Tydnyuk A. Rational Solution of the KZ Equation (Example), arXiv:math/0162153, 2006.